arXiv:0901.4086v1 [math.GT] 26 Jan 2009

The genus spectrum of a hyperbolic 3–manifold

D. B. McReynolds* and A. W. Reid†

January 26, 2009

1 Introduction

Let M be a closed orientable Riemannian manifold M. An invariant of M that has traditionally been of great importance in understanding the geometry of M is the geodesic length spectrum (that is the set of lengths of closed geodesics counted with multiplicities). For example, in the case when M admits a metric with all sectional curvatures negative, there is a strong relationship between this spectrum and the eigenvalue spectrum of the Laplace–Beltrami operator ([2],[3]); the latter is well known to determine the volume and dimension of M. The aim of this article is to begin the development of more general geometric spectra for Riemannian manifolds.

In more detail, the geodesic length spectrum encodes isometric immersions of S^1 into M, and in this article we will study the 2–dimensional case; that is totally geodesic immersions of orientable, finite type surfaces into Riemannian manifolds. Motivated by the case of the length spectrum two natural (complementary) questions are:

Question 1. *How much of the geometry of M is determined by the totally geodesic surfaces immersed in M?*

Question 2. *Do there exist non-isometric Riemannian manifolds with the same "spectra" of totally geodesic surfaces?*

To state our results we describe the set up more carefully. We will consider only the case when the manifold M is a complete orientable hyperbolic 3–manifold of finite volume. Let $\Sigma_{g,n}$ denote the closed orientable surface of genus g with n punctures and let M be as indicated above. For each finite type hyperbolic surface X in the moduli space of such a surface $\Sigma_{g,n}$, set $\ell_X(M)$ to be the number of the free homotopy classes of totally geodesic surfaces in M with a representative isometric to X. That $\ell_X(M)$ is finite follows from [15] Corollary 8.8.6. We define the *geometric genus spectrum* to be the set of pairs

$$\mathcal{GS}(M) = \{(X, \ell_X(M)) \ : \ \ell_X(M) \neq 0\}.$$

*This work was supported in part by an NSF postdoctoral fellowship
†This work was supported in part by the NSF

We say that M_1 and M_2 are *geometrically isogenus* if $\mathcal{GS}(M_1) = \mathcal{GS}(M_2)$. Forgetting the multiplicities $\ell_X(M)$ in $\mathcal{GS}(M)$ leads to the *geometric genus set* given by

$$\mathrm{GS}(M) = \{X \ : \ \ell_X(M) \neq 0\}.$$

We say that M_1 and M_2 are *geometrically genus equivalent* if $\mathrm{GS}(M_1) = \mathrm{GS}(M_2)$.

Our first result addresses the degree to which the geometric genus set or spectrum governs the geometry of M.

Theorem 1.1. *Let $M_1 = \mathbf{H}^3/\Gamma_1$ and $M_2 = \mathbf{H}^3/\Gamma_2$ be arithmetic hyperbolic 3–manifolds. If M_1 and M_2 are geometrically genus equivalent then either $GS(M_1) = \emptyset$, or M_1 and M_2 are commensurable.*

The first possibility does occur since, unlike the case of the length spectrum, the set $\mathcal{GS}(M)$ can be empty; indeed, *most* finite volume hyperbolic 3–manifolds do not contain an immersed totally geodesic surface (see [9] Chapter 5.3). However, and perhaps most interestingly from the point of view of this spectrum, if an arithmetic hyperbolic 3–manifold contains one totally geodesic surface, it contains infinitely many such surfaces (up to commensurability). We do not know if this holds in general (see Section 8 for a further discussion of this). Thus arithmetic manifolds provide a good class to analyze in regard to geometric spectra. It is also worth remarking that even in the case of the length spectrum, there are no comparable results to Theorem 1.1 without an arithmetic assumption—see [13], [1], and [12].

Similarities with results for the length (and eigenvalue) spectrum are also evident in our two other main results (cf. [14], [7] and [12]).

Theorem 1.2. *Let $M = \mathbf{H}^3/\Gamma$ be an arithmetic hyperbolic 3–manifold. Then there exists infinitely many pairs of finite covers (M_j, N_j) of M such that M_j, N_j are geometrically isogenus and nonisometric.*

Theorem 1.3. *Let $M = \mathbf{H}^3/\Gamma$ be an arithmetic hyperbolic 3–manifold. Then there exists infinitely many pairs of finite covers (M_j, N_j) of M such that M_j, N_j are geometrically genus equivalent and the sequence $\{\mathrm{vol}(M_j)/\mathrm{vol}(N_j)\}_j$ is unbounded.*

Though the method of proof for Theorems 1.2 and 1.3 is in the same spirit as Sunada's method for producing iso-length and isospectral manifolds [14], unlike Sunada's method it is not purely algebraic (see the discussion in Section 4.2 for more on this comparison).

Theorems 1.2 and 1.3 also hold without an arithmetic assumption. However, we have elected only to completely treat the case of arithmetic hyperbolic 3–manifolds since, as mentioned above, it is only in this case that we know that the geometric genus spectrum being nontrivial implies that there are infinitely many totally geodesic surfaces. In addition the proofs in the arithmetic cases are somewhat simpler. In Section 7 we sketch the modifications needed in the proofs for non-arithmetic manifolds.

Acknowledgements

Both authors wish to thank R.I.M.S. Kyoto for their hospitality in December 2006 when this work began. Additionally, the first author wishes to thank the California Institute of

Technology as some of this work was done during an extended visit to that institution, and the second author wishes to thank the Institute for Advanced Study where this work was completed.

2 Preliminaries

In this section we collect some preliminary material and notation that we will use throughout. For convenience, we will often blur the distinction between Kleinian groups as subgroups of $\mathrm{SL}(2,\mathbf{C})$ and $\mathrm{PSL}(2,\mathbf{C})$.

Notation: For a number field K, R_K will denote the ring of K–integers. If L/K is an extension of number fields, P a prime ideal of R_K, and $\mathfrak{p}$ an ideal of R_L such that $\mathfrak{p}|P$, we then denote by ω_P (resp. $\nu_\mathfrak{p}$) the places associated to these primes and write $\nu_\mathfrak{p}|\omega_P$. For a quadratic extension K'/K of number fields and a K–prime $\mathfrak{p}$, by the *splitting type* of $\mathfrak{p}$ in K', we mean whether $\mathfrak{p}$ is ramified, inert, or split in K'/K. If B is a quaternion algebra over K, we denote the set of finite places of K at which B is ramified by $\mathrm{Ram}_f B$.

2.1

For a prime $p \in \mathbf{N}$, $\mathbf{F}_q$ will denote the unique field of order $q = p^j$ for each $j \geq 1$ and $\mathbf{F}_q(\sqrt{b})$ the unique quadratic extension of $\mathbf{F}_q$. In a slight abuse of notation, the undecorated b above represents some element of $\mathbf{F}_q$ that is not a square in $\mathbf{F}_q$, in essence suppressing its dependence on the p and j. As no specific property of b will be used, this abuse is mild. In what follows, to avoid some complications, we will assume $p \neq 2$.

Associated to each field $\mathbf{F}_q$ is the finite group $\mathrm{PSL}(2,\mathbf{F}_q)$ which is well known to have order $\frac{q(q^2-1)}{2}$. The standard *Borel subgroup* of $\mathrm{PSL}(2,\mathbf{F}_q)$ is given by

$$\mathbf{B}(\mathbf{F}_q) = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} \alpha & \beta \\ 0 & \alpha^{-1} \end{pmatrix} \ : \ \alpha \in (\mathbf{F}_q)^\times / \{\pm 1\}\,,\ \beta \in \mathbf{F}_q \right\}$$

and has order $\frac{q(q-1)}{2}$.

In our proofs of Theorems 1.2 and 1.3, we will need elements of a prescribed order. This is accomplished with the following well-known lemma.

Lemma 2.1. *For each divisor m of $(q \pm 1)$, there exists γ_m in $\mathrm{SL}(2,\mathbf{F}_q)$ with order m.*

Proof: For divisors of $q-1$, simply note that we have the inclusion of $(\mathbf{F}_q)^\times$ into $\mathrm{SL}(2,\mathbf{F}_q)$ given by

$$\alpha \mapsto \begin{pmatrix} \alpha & 0 \\ 0 & \alpha^{-1} \end{pmatrix}.$$

The existence of γ_m now follows from the fact that $(\mathbf{F}_q)^\times$ is cyclic.

For divisors of $q+1$, the ensuing argument produces the desired elements. As right multiplication of $(\mathbf{F}_q(\sqrt{b}))^\times$ on $\mathbf{F}_q(\sqrt{b})$ is $\mathbf{F}_q$–linear, there exists an injective homomorphism $(\mathbf{F}_q(\sqrt{b}))^\times$ into $\mathrm{GL}(2,\mathbf{F}_q)$ given by selecting an $\mathbf{F}_q$–basis for $\mathbf{F}_q(\sqrt{b})$. For any element γ in $(\mathbf{F}_q(\sqrt{b}))^\times$, $\det(\gamma^{q-1}) = 1$ since $\det\gamma \in \mathbf{F}_q^\times$ and determinants are multiplicative. Appealing

again to the fact that $(\mathbf{F}_q(\sqrt{b}))^\times$ is cyclic, we may take γ of order q^2-1 and thus produce an element $\gamma^{s(q-1)}$ in $\mathrm{SL}(2,\mathbf{F}_q)$ of order m where $ms = q+1$. $\square$

2.2

We will require some control over the behavior of primes in extensions. The first result of this type we need is on the splitting of primes in quadratic extensions.

Proposition 2.2. *Let K_1 and K_2 be quadratic extensions of a number field K. If all but a finite number of K–primes have the same splitting type in K_1 and K_2, then $K_1 = K_2$.*

Proof: For $i = 1, 2$, let S_i be the set of primes of K that split in K_i. Since $S_1 = S_2$ apart from a finite number of primes (in particular density 0), we deduce from [6] Chap. IV Corollary 5.5 that $K_1 = K_2$ as required. $\square$

Now let k/L be a proper extension of number fields, ω a finite place of L and $\nu|\omega$ a place of k. For brevity we simply denote the extension of residue class fields by $\mathbf{F}_{q_\nu}/\mathbf{F}_{q_\omega}$.

Lemma 2.3. *There exists a positive density set of finite places ν of k such that $\mathbf{F}_{q_\nu}/\mathbf{F}_{q_\omega}$ is an extension of degree at least 2. Moreover, we can arrange for both $[\mathbf{F}_{q_\nu} : \mathbf{F}_{q_\omega}]$ and $[\mathbf{F}_{q_\omega} : \mathbf{F}_{p_\nu}]$ to be fixed over this collection of places.*

Proof: Let k_{gal} denote the Galois closure of k. As $k \neq L$, there exists a Galois automorphism θ in $\mathrm{Gal}(k_{\mathrm{gal}}/L)$ such that θ is trivial on L and nontrivial on k. According to the Cebotarev Density Theorem, there exists a positive density set of places ν_{gal} of k_{gal} such that the induced Galois automorphism $\overline{\theta}$ on the Galois extension $\mathbf{F}_{q_{\nu_{\mathrm{gal}}}}$ of $\mathbf{F}_{q_\omega}$ has order $|\theta|$. In particular, $\overline{\theta}$ is trivial on $\mathbf{F}_{q_\omega}$ but not $\mathbf{F}_{q_\nu}$. Thus $\mathbf{F}_{q_\nu}/\mathbf{F}_{q_\omega}$ is a proper extension for all the residue fields $\mathbf{F}_{q_\nu}, \mathbf{F}_{q_\omega}$ associated to the places ν and ω where $\nu_{\mathrm{gal}} \mid \nu$ and $\nu_{\mathrm{gal}} \mid \omega$. For the final claim, let $\mathbf{F}_{p_\nu}$ denote the prime field for $\mathbf{F}_{q_\nu}$ (and hence for $\mathbf{F}_{q_\omega}$ too). As the possibilities for the degrees $[\mathbf{F}_{q_\nu} : \mathbf{F}_{q_\omega}]$ and $[\mathbf{F}_{q_\omega} : \mathbf{F}_{p_\nu}]$ range over finite sets as we vary ν, the result follows immediately from the Pigeon Hole Principle and the fact that a finite union of density zero sets is density zero. Specifically, at least one possibility for $[\mathbf{F}_{q_\nu} : \mathbf{F}_{q_\omega}]$ and $[\mathbf{F}_{q_\omega} : \mathbf{F}_{p_\nu}]$ with $\mathbf{F}_{q_\nu}/\mathbf{F}_{q_\omega}$ proper occurs with positive density. $\square$

2.3

We recall the basic framework of non-elementary Fuchsian subgroups of Kleinian groups. We will not restrict to the arithmetic setting at present, as some of this material will be required for the general discussion in Section 7.

Let $M = \mathbf{H}^3/\Gamma$ be a finite volume hyperbolic 3–manifold and S a properly immersed orientable totally geodesic surface in M. Then corresponding to S is a non-elementary Fuchsian subgroup of $F < \Gamma$ and a round circle $\mathcal{C} \subset \widehat{\mathbf{C}} = \mathbf{C} \cup \infty$ such that $F < \mathrm{Stab}(\mathcal{C}, \Gamma)$ where

$$\mathrm{Stab}(\mathcal{C}, \Gamma) = \{\gamma \in \Gamma : \gamma\mathcal{C} = \mathcal{C} \text{ and } \gamma \text{ preserves components of } \widehat{\mathbf{C}} \setminus \mathcal{C}\}.$$

We refer to the groups $\mathrm{Stab}(\mathcal{C}, \Gamma)$ as *maximal Fuchsian subgroups* of Γ. Note that the geometric genus spectrum of M is determined by the maximal Fuchsian subgroups of Γ.

If F is a non-elementary Fuchsian subgroup of a finite co-volume Kleinian group Γ, then the invariant trace-field $L = kF$ is a real number field and is a subfield of the invariant trace-field $k = k\Gamma$. Furthermore the invariant algebra AF/L is a L–subalgebra of $A\Gamma$ and $AF \otimes_L k \cong A\Gamma$ as k–quaternion algebras.

Suppose that $A\Gamma$ is ramified at a finite place ν and ω is a place of kF such that $\nu|\omega$. Then AF is ramified at ω since

$$A\Gamma \otimes_k k_\nu \cong (AF \otimes_L k) \otimes_k k_\nu$$

is isomorphic to $(AF \otimes_L L_\omega) \otimes_{L_\omega} k_\nu$ as k_ν–quaternion algebras, and so $AF \otimes_L L_\omega$ must be a division algebra. However, AF can be ramified at other places (see for example the arithmetic case below). On the other hand, if AF is unramified at ω then it is clear that $A\Gamma$ is unramified at any k–place ν that divides ω.

Let ν be a place of k at which $A\Gamma$ is unramified. Assume that $\Gamma \subset A\Gamma^1$ (the group of elements of norm 1 in $A\Gamma$) and assume additionally that under the induced injection of Γ into $\mathrm{M}(2, k_\nu)$, the image is conjugate into $\mathrm{SL}(2, R_\nu)$ where R_ν is the ring of ν–adic integers in k_ν. Thus we will assume that Γ injects into $\mathrm{SL}(2, R_\nu)$. Note that this is true for all but a finite number of places ν.

Reducing modulo the maximal ideal πR_ν of R_ν and taking the central quotient, gives a homomorphism $\phi_\nu \colon \Gamma \to \mathrm{PSL}(2, \mathbf{F}_{q_\nu})$. For all but a finite number of places, the Strong Approximation Theorem (see [11] or [16]) ensures that ϕ_ν is surjective. For a place ω of L with $\nu|\omega$, the image of F under ϕ_ν depends on whether the AF is ramified at the place ω or not. More specifically, we will prove the following.

Lemma 2.4. *In the notation established above, the image of F under ϕ_ν is conjugate (over an algebraic closure of $\mathbf{F}_{q_\nu}$) to either*

(a) a subgroup of $\mathrm{PSL}(2, \mathbf{F}_{q_\omega})$, when AF is not ramified at ω, or

(b) a subgroup of $\mathbf{B}(\mathbf{F}_{q_\omega}(\sqrt{b}))$ when AF is ramified at ω.

Proof: Suppose first that AF is unramified at ω. In this case, $AF \otimes_L L_\omega$ is isomorphic to $M(2, L_\omega)$, and it is easy to see from this that the image of F under ϕ_ν is isomorphic to a subgroup of $\mathrm{PSL}(2, \mathbf{F}_{q_\omega})$—indeed it will be conjugate over some finite extension of $\mathbf{F}_{q_\omega}$ to a subgroup of $\mathrm{PSL}(2, \mathbf{F}_{q_\omega})$.

The case when AF is ramified at ω requires some additional discussion. It will be helpful to recall a certain representation of the division algebra $AF \otimes_L L_\omega$ (see [9] Exercise 2.6(1)). Let L_{nr} denote the unique unramified quadratic extension of L_ω, and $'$ denote the non-trivial Galois automorphism of L_{nr}/L. Then the unique division algebra over L_ω can be represented as:

$$B_\omega = \{\begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ \pi_\omega b' & a' \end{pmatrix} : a, b \in L\}.$$

Hence, F is conjugate (over an algebraic closure of L_ω and hence k_ν) to a subgroup of the elements of norm 1 in B_ω. Indeed, by assumption (see the discussion preceding the lemma), since F is a subgroup of the unique maximal order of $AF \otimes_L L_\omega$ (see [9] Chap.6.4),

it follows that F is actually conjugate to a subgroup of the norm 1 elements of $\mathcal{O}_\omega$, the unique maximal order in B_ω. This discussion, together with [9] Exercise 6.4(1), shows that F is conjugate to a subgroup of

$$\{\begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ \pi_\omega b' & a' \end{pmatrix} : a, b \in R\},$$

where R is the valuation ring of L_{nr}.

Now observe that the image of F under the homomorphism ϕ_ν is, up to conjugacy, described by its image under the natural reduction quotient of $\mathcal{O}_\omega$ by its unique maximal ideal (which is informally, the reduction modulo π_ω). This can be seen to have image in a group of upper triangular matrices with entries in the unique quadratic extension of $\mathbf{F}_{q_\omega}$. This completes the proof. □

3 Commensurability class rigidity: Theorem 1.1

Here we will prove Theorem 1.1. This should be compared with the results found in [13], [1], [8], and [12] for the length and eigenvalue spectra.

3.1

We begin by specializing the discussion of Subsection 2.3 to the case of arithmetic Kleinian groups which contain Fuchsian subgroups. For a fuller account see [9] Chapters 5.3 and 9.5.

Let Γ be an arithmetic Kleinian group which contains a non-elementary Fuchsian subgroup F. It is known in this case that if $\mathcal{C}$ is the invariant circle of F, then $\mathrm{Stab}(\mathcal{C}, \Gamma)$ is a Fuchsian group of finite co-area. Furthermore, the invariant trace-field L of F is a totally real field, $[k\Gamma : L] = 2$, and if B is the invariant quaternion algebra of F then (as above), $B \otimes_L k\Gamma = A\Gamma$. However, more can be said in this case about precisely which algebras B can arise. We record the following (see [9] Theorem 9.5.5) which will be useful in the sequel.

Theorem 3.1. *Let Γ be an arithmetic Kleinian group with invariant trace-field k and invariant quaternion algebra A/k. Suppose $[k : L] = 2$ where $L = k \cap \mathbf{R}$ and B is a quaternion algebra over L ramified at all real places of L except the identity. Then $A \cong B \otimes_L k$ if and only if $\mathrm{Ram}_f A$ consists of $2r$ places (possibly zero) $\{\nu_{\mathfrak{p}_1}, \nu_{\mathfrak{p}'_1}, \ldots \nu_{\mathfrak{p}_r}, \nu_{\mathfrak{p}'_r}\}$ where the k–primes $\mathfrak{p}_i$ and $\mathfrak{p}'_i$ satisfy $\mathfrak{p}_i \cap R_L = \mathfrak{p}'_i \cap R_L = P_i$, $\{\omega_{P_1}, \ldots, \omega_{P_r}\} \subset \mathrm{Ram}_f B$ with $\mathrm{Ram}_f B \setminus \{\omega_{P_1}, \ldots, \omega_{P_r}\}$ consisting of primes in R_L which are inert or ramified in k/L.*

3.2 Proof of Theorem 1.1

Recall in the statement of Theorem 1.1, we are assuming that we have a pair of arithmetic hyperbolic 3–manifolds $M_1 = \mathbf{H}^3/\Gamma_1$ and $M_2 = \mathbf{H}^3/\Gamma_2$ that are geometrically genus equivalent. Our goal is to show that if $\mathrm{GS}(M_1) \neq \emptyset$, then M_1 and M_2 are commensurable. For the proof, set k_1, k_2 and $A_1/k_1, A_2/k_2$ to be the invariant trace-fields and quaternion algebras of Γ_1, Γ_2 respectively. With this notation, to show that M_1 and M_2 are commensurable it suffices to show that $k_1 \cong k_2$ and $A_1 \cong A_2$ as algebras over $\mathbf{Q}$ (see [9] Theorem 8.4.1).

Since Γ_1 contains a non-elementary Fuchsian subgroup, k_1 contains a totally real field L with $[k_1 : L] = 2$. Furthermore, since M_1 and M_2 are geometrically genus equivalent, it follows from §3.1, that L is the maximal totally real field of k_2 and that $[k_2 : L] = 2$ also holds.

Any non-elementary Fuchsian subgroup of Γ_j defines an associated quaternion algebra B over L that is an L–subalgebra of A_j. Conversely, any quaternion algebra B/L that is unramified at the identity, ramified at all other real places of L, and for which $B \otimes_L k_j \cong A_j$, produces a commensurability class of arithmetic Fuchsian subgroups of Γ_j (note that different embeddings of B into A_j can provide non-conjugate subgroups of Γ_j). Since M_1 and M_2 are geometrically genus equivalent, it follows that if B is a quaternion algebra defined over L that is unramified at the identity and ramified at all other real places of L, then:

$$B \otimes_L k_1 \cong A_1 \text{ if and only if } B \otimes_L k_2 \cong A_2. \tag{1}$$

In particular, it is easy to see that if $k_1 \cong k_2$, then $A_1 \cong A_2$ (after perhaps applying complex conjugation). Hence we are reduced to showing $k_1 \cong k_2$.

To that end, let R_1 and R_2 be the set of finite places of k_1 and k_2 that ramify A_1 and A_2, R_j' denote those L–places lying below those places in R_j for $j = 1, 2$, and let V be the set of all finite places of L. Let $\omega \in V \setminus (R_1' \cup R_2')$ be a place that is ramified or inert in k_1/L. Theorem 3.1 implies that we can construct a quaternion algebra B over L that is ramified at $R_1' \cup \omega$ (and possibly other primes) and for which $B \otimes_L k_1 \cong A_1$. Hence by (1), B embeds in A_2. We claim that ω is ramified or inert in k_2. Indeed, if $\omega = \nu\nu'$ is split in k_2, then $(k_2)_\nu \cong L_\omega$ and it follows that $A_2 \otimes_{k_2} (k_2)_\nu \cong (B \otimes_L L_\omega)$ is a division algebra (and similarly for ν'). Hence $\nu, \nu' \in R_2$, implying that $\omega \in R_2'$, and this is a contradiction. Repeating this argument with the roles of k_1 and k_2 interchanged we deduce that apart from a finite number of L–primes, an L–prime is inert or ramified in k_1 if and only if it is inert of ramified in k_2. It follows then that apart from a finite number of L–primes, the splitting types in k_1 and k_2 are identical. Proposition 2.2 now implies $k_1 = k_2$. □

Note that the proof of Theorem 1.1 requires only the knowledge that if a commensurability class for a surface has a representative in M_1, then it also has a representative in M_2 (and conversely). We call a pair of manifolds M_1, M_2 with this property *geometrically genus commensurable*—compare again with the length spectrum setting [13], [1], and [12].

Theorem 3.2. *Let $M_1 = \mathbf{H}^3/\Gamma_1$ and $M_2 = \mathbf{H}^3/\Gamma_2$ be arithmetic hyperbolic 3–manifolds. If M_1 and M_2 are geometrically genus commensurable then either they are commensurable or $GS(M_1) = \emptyset$.*

4 Aligning surfaces: Theorem 1.3

In this section we prove Theorem 1.3. This contains most of the ideas that are needed in the proof of Theorem 1.2. The remaining complication in the proof of Theorem 1.2 will be controlling multiplicities.

4.1 Fuchsian pairs

The main idea in the proof of Theorem 1.3 is given by the following simple lemma.

Lemma 4.1. *Let $M = \mathbf{H}^3/\Gamma$ be a finite volume hyperbolic 3–manifold.*

(i) If Γ_1 and Γ_2 are (finite index) subgroups of Γ such that

$$\Gamma_1 \cap F = \Gamma_2 \cap F \tag{2}$$

for every maximal Fuchsian subgroup F of Γ, then the covers of M corresponding to the subgroups Γ_1 and Γ_2 are geometrically genus equivalent.

(ii) If in addition G is a finite group, H and K are subgroups of G, and $\phi\colon \Gamma \to G$ a surjective homomorphism such that

$$H \cap \phi(F) = K \cap \phi(F) \tag{3}$$

for every maximal Fuchsian subgroup F of Γ, then the covers of M corresponding to the subgroups $\phi^{-1}(H)$ and $\phi^{-1}(K)$ are geometrically genus equivalent.

Proof: (ii) follows immediately from (i). That (i) holds follows, since as noted earlier, the geometric genus set of M is determined by the maximal Fuchsian subgroups of its associated Kleinian group. □

We call a pair of finite groups $H, K < G$ a *Fuchsian pair with respect to ϕ* if (3) holds.

4.2 Geometrical genus equivalence without volume control

We begin the proof of Theorem 1.3 by proving the following which is nothing more than Theorem 1.3 without volume considerations. The additional volume constraint will be addressed in Subsection 4.3.

Theorem 4.2. *Let $M = \mathbf{H}^3/\Gamma$ be an arithmetic hyperbolic 3–manifold. Then there exists infinitely many pairs of nonisometric finite covers (M_j, N_j) of M such that M_j, N_j are geometrically genus equivalent and non-isometric.*

Proof: Without loss of generality we can pass to a subgroup of finite index so that the invariant trace-field k of Γ coincides with the trace-field. For simplicity, we will continue to denote this group by Γ. Recall that Theorem 3.1 shows that the invariant trace-field of any maximal Fuchsian subgroup F of Γ coincides with the maximal totally real subfield $L = k \cap \mathbf{R}$ and $[k : L] = 2$.

By the Strong Approximation Theorem (see [11] or [16]) and Lemma 2.3, there exists a positive density set of places $\mathcal{P}$ of k such that for each place $\nu \in \mathcal{P}$ and place ω of L with $\nu \mid \omega$, $\phi_\nu(\Gamma) = \mathrm{PSL}(2, \mathbf{F}_{q_\nu})$, the extension $\mathbf{F}_{q_\nu}/\mathbf{F}_{q_\omega}$ is proper (that is, it has degree 2), and the extensions $\mathbf{F}_{q_\omega}/\mathbf{F}_{p_\nu}$ (the latter being the prime field) is of some fixed degree j. For convenience we shall omit any dyadic places from $\mathcal{P}$.

We will apply Lemma 4.1 in the following way. For each place $\nu \in \mathcal{P}$, we seek a non-trivial subgroup C_ν of $\mathrm{PSL}(2, \mathbf{F}_{q_\nu})$ such that $C_\nu \cap \phi_\nu(F)$ is trivial for all maximal Fuchsian subgroups F of Γ. Given this, the subgroup C_ν together with the trivial subgroup $\{1\}$ form a Fuchsian pair with respect to ϕ_ν, and so by Lemma 4.1, the covers M_{C_ν} and $M_{1,\nu}$ of M corresponding to the subgroups $\phi_\nu^{-1}(C_\nu)$ and $\phi_\nu^{-1}(\{1\})$ are geometrically genus equivalent. Furthermore, note that the manifolds M_{C_ν} and M_1 cannot be isometric since $M_{1,\nu}$ properly covers M_{C_ν}.

The subgroup C_ν is provided by the following lemma, the proof of which is given below.

Lemma 4.3. *There exists a prime ℓ_ν that divides the order of $\mathrm{PSL}(2, \mathbf{F}_{q_\nu})$ but not the orders of $\mathrm{PSL}(2, \mathbf{F}_{q_\omega})$ or $\mathbf{B}(\mathbf{F}_{q_\omega}(\sqrt{b}))$.*

Given the lemma, Cauchy's theorem provides an element λ_ν of order ℓ_ν in $\mathrm{PSL}(2, \mathbf{F}_{q_\nu})$, and hence a cyclic subgroup $\langle \lambda_\nu \rangle = C_\nu$ of $\mathrm{PSL}(2, \mathbf{F}_{q_\nu})$ of order ℓ_ν. That this satisfies the condition $C_\nu \cap \phi_\nu(F) = 1$ for any maximal Fuchsian subgroup follows from Lemma 2.4 and elementary group theory. □

Proof of Lemma 4.3: Recall that

$$|\mathrm{PSL}(2, \mathbf{F}_{q_\omega})| = \frac{q_\omega(q_\omega^2 - 1)}{2} = \frac{q_\omega(q_\omega + 1)(q_\omega - 1)}{2},$$
$$\left|\mathbf{B}(\mathbf{F}_{q_\omega}(\sqrt{b}))\right| = \frac{q_\omega^2(q_\omega^2 - 1)}{2} = \frac{q_\omega^2(q_\omega + 1)(q_\omega - 1)}{2}$$
$$|\mathrm{PSL}(2, \mathbf{F}_{q_\nu})| = \frac{q_\omega^2(q_\omega^2 + 1)(q_\omega^2 - 1)}{2} = \frac{q_\nu(q_\nu + 1)(q_\nu - 1)}{2}.$$

Since the numbers $q_\nu + 1, q_\omega$ are relatively prime and the numbers $q_\nu - 1, q_\nu + 1$ share only 2 as a common prime divisor, Lemma 4.3 can be established by finding an odd prime divisor of $q_\nu + 1$. However, this is elementary as $q_\nu + 1 = 2\alpha_\nu$ where $\alpha_\nu \geq 5$ is odd. Thus *any* prime divisor ℓ_ν of α_ν will suffice for the lemma. □

Remarks:

(1) There is an obvious similarity between the statement of Lemma 4.1 and a basic lemma used in the methods of Sunada [14] and [7]. Thus our method can be viewed as a generalization of Sunada's method to the setting of geometric genus spectra. However, unlike the case of Sunada our method is not purely algebraic. The reason is this: in Sunada's method, $\pi_1(S^1)$ is cyclic, so under any homomorphism ϕ of Γ, the image of $\pi_1(S^1)$ is cyclic, and so ϕ plays a minimal role while the role of G is paramount. In contrast, in applying Lemma 4.1, one must have knowledge of the image of Fuchsian subgroups of Γ under ϕ, images which could be extremely complicated.

(2) Although Lemma 2.4 is stated only for totally geodesic surfaces, one can formulate a statement for surface subgroups of Kleinian groups more generally. However, there seems

to be no way of controlling where the image of a general surface group maps under any homomorphism onto a finite group. Indeed, when the trace-field of the surface group coincides with that of the Kleinian group (which is the typical situation when the surface subgroup is not Fuchsian), the Strong Approximation Theorem ensures that the restriction of ϕ_ν to the surface group is surjective for all but finitely many places.

The terminology *geometric* genus spectrum is meant to emphasize that the free homotopy classes of surfaces in the 3–manifold under consideration are of a geometric origin. The *genus spectrum* of M is the set of pair $((g,n), \ell_{g,n}(M))$ of free homotopy classes of π_1–injective, properly immersed surfaces of M that contain a representative of topological type $\Sigma_{g,n}$. By [15] Corollary 8.8.6, if M is a closed hyperbolic 3–manifold then again $\ell_{g,n}(M)$ is finite, and it would seem to be an interesting problem to see how much of the topology and geometry of M is determined by this topological spectrum.

4.3 Ensuring volume growth: Proof of Theorem 1.3

We now show how to extend the method of proof of Theorem 4.2 to obtain Theorem 1.3.

Proof of Theorem 1.3: The proof of Lemma 4.3 shows that any odd prime divisor ℓ_ν of $q_\nu + 1$ produces a pair of geometrically genus equivalent covers with volume ratio ℓ_ν. Ranging over $\mathcal{P}$, if the set of ℓ_ν is unbounded, then the result follows. Otherwise, there is a finite list of primes $\ell_1, \ldots, \ell_r$ such that (recall $q_\nu + 1$ is never zero modulo 4)

$$q_\nu + 1 = 2 \prod_{i=1}^{r} \ell_i^{\alpha_{i,\nu}}.$$

Note that q_ν and the exponents $\alpha_{i,\nu}$ depend on the place $\nu \in \mathcal{P}$ but the primes $\ell_1, \ldots, \ell_r$, by assumption, do not. In particular, since the left hand side is unbounded as we range over $\mathcal{P}$, one of the exponents $\alpha_{i_0,\nu}$ must be unbounded as we range over $\mathcal{P}$. According to Lemma 2.1, since $\ell_i^{\alpha_{i_0,\nu}}$ divides $q_\nu + 1$, there exists an element λ_ν of order $\ell_i^{\alpha_{i_0,\nu}}$ in $\mathrm{PSL}(2, \mathbf{F}_{q_\nu})$. Arguing as before, we see that the subgroup C_ν generated by λ_ν and the trivial subgroup form a Fuchsian pair for ϕ_ν. The resulting geometrically genus equivalent covers of M have a volume ratio of $\ell_i^{\alpha_{i_0,\nu}}$, which by choice of $\alpha_{i_0,\nu}$, is unbounded as we range over $\mathcal{P}$. □

Remark: The manifolds M_{C_ν} and $M_{1,\nu}$ in the proof of Theorem 4.2 are *not* geometrically isogenus when $\mathrm{GS}(M) \neq \emptyset$. To see this, note that the maximal orientable totally geodesic surfaces up to free homotopy in a hyperbolic 3–manifold $M = \mathbf{H}^3/\Gamma$ are in bijection with the Γ–conjugacy classes of maximal Fuchsian subgroups of Γ. Thus given a finite cover $N \to M$, the maximal orientable totally geodesic surfaces up to free homotopy in N are parameterized by the $\pi_1(N)$–conjugacy classes of the Fuchsian subgroups $\pi_1(N) \cap F$, where F is a maximal Fuchsian subgroup of Γ.

Applying this discussion to the manifolds M_{C_ν} and $M_{1,\nu}$, we know that $\pi_1(M_{C_\nu})$ and $\pi_1(M_{1,\nu})$ satisfy (2). Since $M_{1,\nu} \to M_{C_\nu}$ is a cyclic cover of degree ℓ_ν and (2) holds, each $\pi_1(M_{C_\nu})$–conjugacy class $\pi_1(M_{C_\nu}) \cap F$ produces ℓ_ν distinct $\pi_1(M_{1,\nu})$–conjugacy classes in

$\pi_1(M_{1,\nu})$. This yields the relationship:

$$\mathcal{GS}(M_{\nu,1}) = \{(X, \ell_X(M_{\nu,1})\} = \{(X, \ell_\nu \cdot \ell_X(M_{\nu,\ell_\nu}))\} \tag{4}$$

In particular, *none* of the non-zero multiplicities are the same in the geometric genus spectra. However, the uniform nature of this failure will provide us a handle for matching up multiplicities for other pairs of covers.

5 Arranging multiplicities: Proof of Theorem 1.2

In this section we prove Theorem 1.2. As discussed above, the proof of Theorem 4.2 produces infinitely many pairs of finite covers M_{C_ν} and $M_{1,\nu}$ that are geometrically genus equivalent with a very precise relationship between their geometric genus spectra given by (4). We now show how to exploit (4) to produce infinitely many pairs of geometrically isogenus manifolds by using product homomorphisms $\phi_{\nu_1} \times \phi_{\nu_2}$. More precisely, Theorem 1.2 follows from (using the notation from the proof of Theorem 4.2):

Proposition 5.1. *There exists an infinite subset of places $\nu \in \mathcal{P}$ for which a fixed prime ℓ can be taken for ℓ_ν.*

Deferring the proof of this we complete the proof of Theorem 1.2.

Proof of Theorem 1.2: Let $\mathcal{P}' \subset \mathcal{P}$ be the subset produced by Proposition 5.1 for the prime ℓ. For any pair of places $\nu_1, \nu_2 \in \mathcal{P}'$, we have a pair of surjective homomorphisms $\phi_{\nu_j} \colon \Gamma \to \mathrm{PSL}(2, \mathbf{F}_{q_{\nu_j}})$ such that ℓ divides the order of $\mathrm{PSL}(2, \mathbf{F}_{q_{\nu_j}})$ but not the orders of $\mathrm{PSL}(2, \mathbf{F}_{q_{\omega_j}})$ or $\mathbf{B}(\mathbf{F}_{q_{\omega_j}}(\sqrt{b}))$. Let C_{ν_j} be a cyclic subgroup of $\mathrm{PSL}(2, \mathbf{F}_{q_{\nu_j}})$ of order ℓ. Setting

$$\phi_{1,2} \colon \Gamma \to \mathrm{PSL}(2, \mathbf{F}_{q_{\nu_1}}) \times \mathrm{PSL}(2, \mathbf{F}_{q_{\nu_2}})$$

to be the product homomorphism $\phi_{\nu_1} \times \phi_{\nu_2}$ (which is also surjective), we define subgroups $H_1 = C_{\nu_1} \times \{1\}$ and $H_2 = \{1\} \times C_{\nu_2}$. By construction of ℓ, it follows that H_1, H_2 satisfy (3), and thus form a Fuchsian pair for $\phi_{1,2}$. Hence, by Lemma 4.1(ii), the covers $M_{\nu_1,\nu_2,H_1}, M_{\nu_1,\nu_2,H_2}, M_{\nu_1,\nu_2,\{1\}}$ associated to the subgroups $\phi_{1,2}^{-1}(H_1), \phi_{1,2}^{-1}(H_2), \phi_{1,2}^{-1}(\{1\})$ are pairwise geometrically genus equivalent. Indeed, by (4)

$$\mathcal{GS}(M_{\nu_1,\nu_2,\{1\}}) = \{(X, \ell \cdot \ell_X(M_{\nu_1,\nu_2,H_j}))\}$$

for $j = 1, 2$. In particular,

$$\ell \cdot \ell_X(M_{\nu_1,\nu_2,H_1}) = \ell \cdot \ell_X(M_{\nu_1,\nu_2,H_2})$$

and thus

$$\ell_X(M_{\nu_1,\nu_2,H_1}) = \ell_X(M_{\nu_1,\nu_2,H_2}).$$

It remains to prove that $M_{\nu_1,\nu_2,H_1}, M_{\nu_1,\nu_2,H_2}$ are not isometric. If this were not the case, then $\pi_1(M_{\nu_1,\nu_2,H_1})$ and $\pi_1(M_{\nu_1,\nu_2,H_2})$ would be conjugate in $\mathrm{Isom}(\mathbf{H}^3)$. We claim this is

impossible. To that end, set $\lambda_{\nu_1} \in C_{\nu_1}$ to be a generator and $\gamma_{\nu_1} \in \pi_1(M_{\nu_1,\nu_2,H_1})$ such that $\phi_1(\gamma_{\nu_1}) = \lambda_{\nu_1}$. Recall that the homomorphisms ϕ_ν arose from the reduction of R_ν be the unique maximal ideal πR_ν and so induce homomorphisms $\phi_\nu \colon R_\nu[t] \to \mathbf{F}_{q_\nu}[t]$. It is a simple matter that for $\eta \in \mathrm{PSL}(2, R_\nu)$, we have the relationship $c_{\phi_\nu(\eta)}(t) = \phi_\nu(c_\eta(t))$, where $c_\theta(t) \in R_\nu[t]$ denotes the characteristic polynomial of θ.

By definition, every element γ of $\pi_1(M_{\nu_1,\nu_2,H_2})$ maps trivially under ϕ_{ν_1} and thus $\phi_{\nu_1}(c_\gamma(t)) = (t-1)^2$. As conjugation by any τ in $\mathrm{Isom}(\mathbf{H}^3)$ preserves determinant and at worst changes trace by complex conjugation, any $\mathrm{Isom}(\mathbf{H}^3)$–conjugate of γ also has this property. In particular, if γ_{ν_1} is $\mathrm{Isom}(\mathbf{H}^3)$–conjugate into $\pi_1(M_{\nu_1,\nu_2,H_2})$, it would have to be that $c_{\lambda_{\nu_1}}(t) = (t-1)^2$. However, this is impossible since λ_{ν_1} is a semisimple element of odd prime order ℓ.

To produce infinitely many pairs, we can simply vary ν_1, ν_2 over $\mathcal{P}' \times \mathcal{P}' \setminus \Delta$ where Δ denotes the diagonal. $\square$

Proof of Proposition 5.1: In the proof of the proposition, we will keep with the notation used in the proof of Theorem 4.2. For the reader's convenience, we will briefly recall some of the notation here. Recall that we restricted ourselves to a positive density subset $\mathcal{P}$ of places of k in order to control $[\mathbf{F}_{q_\nu} : \mathbf{F}_{q_\omega}]$ and $[\mathbf{F}_{q_\omega} : \mathbf{F}_{p_\nu}]$ and will continue to do so here. In addition, q_ν, q_ω, p_ν will denote the orders of the field $\mathbf{F}_{q_\nu}, \mathbf{F}_{q_\omega}$, and $\mathbf{F}_{p_\nu}$, and P will denote the set of all integral primes.

For each $\nu \in \mathcal{P}$, we saw from the proof of Theorem 4.2 that ℓ_ν can be any odd prime divisor of $q_\nu + 1$. What is required here is to find an odd prime ℓ and an infinite subset $\mathcal{P}' \subset \mathcal{P}$ such that $\ell \mid q_\nu + 1$ for all $\nu \in \mathcal{P}'$. In fact, we will find an odd prime ℓ and a positive density (and hence infinite) subset $\mathcal{P}'$ for which this holds. The remainder of this proof is devoted to this task.

Recall that $q_\nu = q_\omega^2 = p_\nu^{2j}$ where j is fixed, and so $q_\nu + 1 = p_\nu^{2j} + 1$. It is elementary that for any (odd) prime ℓ, if ℓ divides $p_\nu^{2j} + 1$, then $p_\nu^{2j} + 1 \equiv 0 \mod \ell$. Equivalently, setting $F_j(t) = t^{2j} + 1$, the previous statement is simply that $\overline{p_\nu}$ is a zero of $F_j(t)$ in $\mathbf{F}_\ell$ (where $\overline{p_\nu}$ is the modulo ℓ residue class of p_ν in $\mathbf{F}_\ell$).

We now show how to use $F_j(t)$ and the Cebotarev density theorem to find the required ℓ and $\mathcal{P}'$. To that end, let $\mathcal{L}_j$ be the set of odd primes ℓ such that $F_j(t)$ has a root in $\mathbf{F}_\ell$. For each $\ell \in \mathcal{L}_j$, define the set

$$\mathrm{P}_\ell^j = \{m \in \mathbf{N} \ : \ F_j(\overline{m}) = 0 \mod \ell\} \cap \mathrm{P}.$$

By the Cebotarev Density Theorem,

$$\mathrm{Density}(\mathrm{P}_\ell^j) \geq \frac{1}{\ell - 1} \tag{5}$$

In addition, since for every prime p, there exists an odd prime divisor of $p^{2j} + 1$ (recall that $p^{2j} + 1$ is never zero modulo 4),

$$\bigcup_{\ell \in \mathcal{L}_j} \mathrm{P}_\ell^j = \mathrm{P}. \tag{6}$$

After ordering $\mathcal{L}_j = \{\ell_1 < \ell_2 < \ell_3 < \dots\}$, for each $i \geq 1$, we define the set

$$A_i = \bigcup_{m=1}^{i} \mathrm{P}^j_{\ell_m}.$$

The sequence of densities $\{\mathrm{Density}(A_i)\}_i$ for the sets A_i is positive by (5), strictly increasing (since $\mathrm{P}^j_{\ell_i} \cap \mathrm{P}^j_{\ell_{i'}}$ has density strictly smaller than $\mathrm{P}^j_{\ell_i}$ and $\mathrm{P}^j_{\ell_{i'}}$ for all distinct i, i'), and has a least upper bound of 1 by (6). This in tandem with the fact that the set

$$\mathrm{P}_{\mathcal{P}} = \{p_\nu \ : \ \nu \in \mathcal{P}\}$$

has positive density, implies that there exists an i_0 such that $A_{i_0} \cap \mathrm{P}_{\mathcal{P}}$ has positive density. By the Pigeonhole Principle, there exists $1 \leq i_1 \leq i_0$ such that

$$\mathrm{P}' = \mathrm{P}^j_{\ell_{i_1}} \cap \mathrm{P}_{\mathcal{P}}$$

has positive density. In particular, for each $p \in \mathrm{P}'$, the prime ℓ_{i_1} divides $p^{2j} + 1$. Thus, ℓ_{i_1} and the set

$$\mathcal{P}' = \left\{\nu \in \mathcal{P} \ : \ p_\nu \in \mathrm{P}'\right\}$$

is the required pair needed to finish the proof. □

6 Examples

In this section, we discuss our constructions in the context of some examples.

6.1

Take $k = \mathbf{Q}(i)$ and $L = \mathbf{Q}$. Since any prime $p \equiv 3 \mod 4$ is inert in k, we see that $\mathbf{F}_\nu \neq \mathbf{F}_p$ for the unique place ν dividing p. Consider the primes 3 and 7. Note that $|\mathrm{PSL}(2, \mathbf{F}_9)| = 360$ and $|\mathrm{PSL}(2, \mathbf{F}_{49})| = 58800$ are both divisible by 5. On the other hand $|\mathrm{PSL}(2, \mathbf{F}_3)| = 12$, $|\mathrm{PSL}(2, \mathbf{F}_7)| = 168$, $|\mathbf{B}(\mathbf{F}_9)| = 36$ and $|\mathbf{B}(\mathbf{F}_{49})| = 1176$, all fail to have 5 as a divisor.

With this, let A/k be any quaternion algebra which satisfies the hypothesis of Theorem 3.1 (and so contains Fuchsian subgroups) and for which the places of k above 7 are not contained in $\mathrm{Ram}_f A$. Note that by definition of A, the place above 3 is not contained in $\mathrm{Ram}_f A$. Let $\mathcal{O}$ be an (maximal) order of A and Γ the image in $\mathrm{PSL}(2, \mathbf{C})$ of $\mathcal{O}^1$. In the notation of the proof of Theorem 4.2, we can take ν to be the place above 3, and $\ell_\nu = 5$ (so that C_ν is a cyclic group of order 5). The proof of Theorem 4.2 now produces geometrically genus equivalent covers of degree 72 and 360, respectively.

To produce isogenus covers, we can take the places over 3 and 7, and use $\ell = 5$. The proof of Theorem 1.2 now produces geometrically isogenus covers of degree $4,233,600$.

We remark that the reduction homomorphisms do indeed surject Γ onto the stated finite groups (without recourse to Strong Approximation). The reason for this is that since $\mathcal{O}$ is maximal, it will be dense in its localizations. Since ν is unramified, these localizations of

$\mathcal{O}$ are isomorphic to $M(2, \mathbf{Z}[i]_\nu)$, and thus Γ is dense in the groups $\mathrm{PSL}(2, \mathbf{Z}[i]_\nu)$ for the stated places ν.

Note that there are infinitely many distinct commensurability classes of such examples that satisfy this condition on $\mathrm{Ram}_f A$. Indeed we can arrange for Γ to be torsion-free.

6.2

Using the results of [5] and [10] one can in principle obtain information on the topological types and multiplicities arising in the case of the groups $\mathrm{PSL}(2, O_d)$. These groups contain elements of finite order, but the arguments still apply. We sketch some of this for the case Picard group $\mathrm{PSL}(2, O_1)$.

Maximal arithmetic Fuchsian groups are parameterized by a positive integer D, called the discriminant. In [10] it is shown that the number of $\mathrm{PSL}(2, O_1)$–conjugacy classes of maximal arithmetic Fuchsian subgroups of discriminant D is 1, 2, or 3 dependent on whether D is congruent to 0 or 3 mod 4, 2 mod 4 or 1 mod 4. Indeed, one can get more detailed information on these arithmetic Fuchsian groups.

For all discriminants D, one conjugacy class is represented by the arithmetic Fuchsian group obtained as follows. Let B_D be the indefinite quaternion algebra over $\mathbf{Q}$ with Hilbert Symbol $\left(\frac{-1,D}{\mathbf{Q}}\right)$ and $\mathcal{O}_D = \mathbf{Z}[1, i, j, ij]$. Then prescribing a particular representation $\rho : B_D \to M(2, \mathbf{C})$, the image of $\mathcal{O}_D^1$ determines an arithmetic Fuchsian subgroup which we denote by F_D. When D is congruent to 0 or 3 modulo 4 this is the only such group (up to $\mathrm{PSL}(2, O_1)$–conjugacy).

In the case when D is congruent to 1 modulo 4, the two further conjugacy classes are represented by group $G_{D,1}$ and $G_{D,2}$. As remarked in [10], the subgroups $G_{D,1}$ and $G_{D,2}$ are conjugate in $\mathrm{PGL}(2, O_1)$. It is shown in [10] that the group $G_{D,1}$ is the image in $\mathrm{PSL}(2, O_1)$ of the unit group of an order $\mathcal{M}_D$ of B_D and $[\mathcal{M}_D^1 : \mathcal{O}_D^1] = 3$.

Finally for the case when D is congruent to 2 modulo 4, there is a further group H_D that is described as the image of the unit group of another order $\mathcal{N}_D$ of B_D and in this case $[\mathcal{N}_D^1 : \mathcal{O}_D^1] = 2$ or 6 dependent on whether D is congruent to 2 or 6 modulo 8.

From this the co-volumes of these maximal arithmetic Fuchsian groups can be computed using a result of Humbert (see [10] §6). In addition, whether the group is non-cocompact is also decidable from D (see Lemma 8 of [10]) and the numbers of conjugacy classes of elements of finite order (and the orders) are also computable (see [10] §7).

7 The non-arithmetic case

In this section, we outline how Theorems 1.2 and 1.3 can be extended to any finite volume hyperbolic 3–manifold.

Let $M = \mathbf{H}^3/\Gamma$ be a complete, finite volume hyperbolic 3–manifold. Set k to be the invariant trace field of M and F to be the maximal real subfield. For each place ν of k and ω of F with $\nu \mid \omega$, let $\mathbf{F}_{q_\nu}, \mathbf{F}_{q_\omega}$ be the associated residue fields and $t_\nu = [\mathbf{F}_{q_\nu} : \mathbf{F}_{q_\omega}]$. According to the Strong Approximation Theorem and Lemma 2.3, we can arrange it so

that $t = t_\nu > 1$ is constant, $[\mathbf{F}_{q_\omega} : \mathbf{F}_{p_\nu}] = j$ is constant, and $\phi_\nu(\Gamma) = \mathrm{PSL}(2, \mathbf{F}_{q_\nu})$ for a positive density subset $\mathcal{P}$ of places ν of k.

The proof of Theorem 4.2 for general M is done with precisely the same method. That Lemma 4.3 holds in this setting follows immediately from Zsigmondy's theorem ([17]). However, to prove the analogue of Proposition 5.1 in this setting requires more than what Zsigmondy's theorem obviously gives, and with that in mind we therefore give more discussion. First, note that one can simplify things somewhat. For each $\nu \in \mathcal{P}$, take a subfield $\mathbf{F}_{q_\omega} < \mathbf{F}_\nu < \mathbf{F}_{q_\nu}$ such that the degree $[\mathbf{F}_\nu : \mathbf{F}_{q_\omega}] = p'$ is a fixed prime. Notice that it certainly suffices to find a prime divisor ℓ_ν of $|\mathrm{PSL}(2, \mathbf{F}_\nu)|$ that does not divide $|\mathrm{PSL}(2, \mathbf{F}_{q_\omega})|$ or $|\mathbf{B}(\mathbf{F}_{q_\omega}(\sqrt{b}))|$ as this would also divide the order of the larger group $\mathrm{PSL}(2, \mathbf{F}_{q_\nu})$. For $p' = 2$, this is nothing more than Lemma 4.3. However, when p' is odd, we need to amend the proof of Proposition 5.1 as follows. In this case, we can write

$$|\mathrm{PSL}(2, \mathbf{F}_\nu)| = \frac{q_\omega^{p'}(q_\omega + 1)(q_\omega - 1) r_{-,\nu} r_{+,\nu}}{2}$$

where the factors $r_{\pm,\nu}$ are given by:

$$r_{+,\nu} = \frac{q_\omega^{p'} + 1}{q_\omega + 1}, \quad r_{-,\nu} = \frac{q_\omega^{p'} - 1}{q_\omega - 1}, \tag{7}$$

and have the following divisibility properties:

$$(q_\omega \pm 1, r_{\mp,\nu}) = 1, \quad (r_{\pm,\nu}, q_\omega) = 1, \quad (r_{+,\nu}, r_{-,\nu}) = 1, \quad (r_{\pm,\nu}, q_\omega \pm 1) \mid p'. \tag{8}$$

Assuming the validity of (8), the existence of ℓ_ν is easy. As either $r_{+,\nu}$ or $r_{-,\nu}$ must be relatively prime to p' and both have at least one odd prime divisor, there exists an odd prime divisor of either $r_{+,\nu}$ or $r_{-,\nu}$ that does not divide $|\mathrm{PSL}(2, q_\omega)|$ and $|\mathbf{B}(\mathbf{F}_{q_\omega}(\sqrt{b}))|$.

The proof of (8) is straightforward. In fact, the first three assertions are trivial and only the proof of the last assertion requires comment. To begin, an elementary calculation shows that

$$r_{-,\nu} = \sum_{j=0}^{p'-1} q_\omega^j, \quad r_{+,\nu} = \sum_{j=1}^{p'} (-1)^{p'-j} q_\omega^{j-1}.$$

Using this, we will work out the case of $(r_{-,\nu}, q_\omega - 1)$. Let ℓ be a common divisor of $r_{-,\nu}$ and $q_\omega - 1$. Then ℓ must divide

$$(r_{-,\nu} + (q_\omega - 1)) = \left(\sum_{j=0}^{p'-1} q_\omega^j \right) + q_\omega - 1 = 2q_\omega + \sum_{j=2}^{p'-1} q_\omega^j.$$

From this, we see that that ℓ must divide

$$\left(\left(2q_\omega + \sum_{j=2}^{p'-1} q_\omega^j \right) + 2q_\omega(q_\omega - 1) \right) = 3q_\omega^2 + \sum_{j=3}^{p'-1} q_\omega^j.$$

Using this procedure, we can now inductively deduce:

$$\ell \mid \left(m q_\omega^{m-1} + \sum_{j=m}^{p'-1} q_\omega^j \right) \tag{9}$$

for any integer $2 \leq m \leq p'-1$. Taking $m = p'-1$ in (9) yields that ℓ must divide

$$(1-p')q_\omega^{p'-2} + q_\omega^{p'-1} = q_\omega^{p'-2}(q_\omega + p' - 1)$$

and thus $\ell \mid (q_\omega + p' - 1)$. This in tandem with the fact that $\ell \mid (q_\omega - 1)$ implies that $\ell \mid p'$ as desired. For the case of $\ell \mid (r_{+,\nu}, q_\omega + 1)$, the corresponding inductive statement becomes:

$$\ell \mid \left((-1)^{p'-m} m q_\omega^{m-1} + \sum_{j=m+1}^{p'} (-1)^{p'-j} q_\omega^{j-1} \right) \tag{10}$$

for all $2 \leq m \leq p'-1$. Taking $m = p'-1$ in (10) implies that ℓ divides

$$(-p'+1)q_\omega^{p'-2} + q_\omega^{p'-1} = q_\omega(1 - p' + q_\omega).$$

As before, this and $\ell \mid q_\omega + 1$ implies that $\ell \mid p'$.

To prove the extension of Theorem 1.3 in this case, what is important here is that the prime ℓ_ν obtained from the extension of Lemma 4.3 occurs as a prime divisor of one of $r_{+,\nu}, r_{-,\nu}$. Taking a positive density subset of places ν where the ℓ_ν arises as a factor of a fixed $r_{\star,\nu}$, the argument is identical.

For the extension of Theorem 1.2, we replace the polynomial $x^{2j}+1$ by one associated to either $r_{+,\nu}$ or $r_{-,\nu}$ obtained from (7) (at least one occurs with positive density). With this, the argument is identical to that given in the proof of Proposition 5.1.

8 Final remarks and questions

In this final section, we collect some questions that naturally arise from this work.

8.1 Geometric genus spectrum invariants

All of the examples of geometrically isogenus manifolds produced in Theorem 1.2 have equal volume. As this is a well known spectral invariant, this prompts the question:

Question. *Do geometrically isogenus (arithmetic) hyperbolic 3–manifolds with non-trivial geometric genus spectra always have equal volume?*

8.2 A criteria for arithmeticity

As Theorem 1.3 shows, any pair of arithmetic hyperbolic 3–manifolds with identical, non-trivial geometric genus sets are commensurable. As already discussed, we do not know of a non-arithmetic example for which geometric genus set is infinite.

Question. *If the geometric genus set of a complete, finite volume hyperbolic 3–manifold M is infinite, is M arithmetic?*

8.3 Commensurability in general

As we expect non-arithmetic complete, finite volume hyperbolic 3–manifolds to typically have finite geometric genus set, a generalization of Theorem 1.1 to non-arithmetic manifolds seems unlikely. In particular, we expect an affirmative answer to the following question.

Question. *Do there exist incommensurable non-arithmetic 3–manifolds with equal, nontrivial geometric genus sets? What about equal nontrivial geometric genus spectra?*

A class of examples which are good candidates for an affirmative answer to these questions are certain non-arithmetic hyperbolic twist knot complements. Any hyperbolic twist knot complement contains an immersed twice punctured disk which is always totally geodesic with a unique hyperbolic structure (arising from the level 2 principal congruence subgroup of $\mathrm{PSL}(2,\mathbf{Z})$). Now using [4] we can arrange for infinitely many of these twist knot complements to have invariant trace-fields of odd prime degree. It now follows from Theorem 5.3.8 of [9] that these knot complements contain no closed totally geodesic surfaces, and furthermore the proof shows that any totally geodesic surface in the knot complement covers $\mathbf{H}^2/\mathrm{PSL}(2,\mathbf{Z})$.

At present we cannot rule out the existence of other surfaces but we believe that the totally geodesic twice punctured disk (and its covers) are the only totally geodesic surfaces in these knot complements.

References

[1] T. Chinburg, E. Hamilton, D. D. Long and A. W. Reid, *Geodesics and commensurability classes of arithmetic hyperbolic 3-manifolds*, Duke Math. J. **145** (2008), 25–44.

[2] J. J. Duistermaat and V. W. Guillemin, *The spectrum of positive elliptic operators and periodic geodesics*, Invent. Math. **29** (1975), 39–79.

[3] R. Gangolli, *The length spectra of some compact manifolds*, J. Diff. Geom. **12** (1977), 403–424.

[4] J. Hoste and P. D. Shanahan, *Trace fields of twist knots*, J. Knot Theory and its Ramifications **10** (2001), 625–639.

[5] D. G. James and C. Maclachlan, *Fuchsian subgroups of Bianchi groups*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **348** (1996), 1989–2002.

[6] G. J. Janusz, *Algebraic Number Fields, Second Edition*, Graduate Studies in Math. **7**, A.M.S (1996).

[7] C. J. Leininger, D. B. McReynolds, W. D. Neumann, and A. W. Reid, *Length and eigenvalue equivalence*, Int. Math. Research Notices **24** (2007), 24 pages.

[8] A. Lubotzky, B. Samuels and U. Vishne, *Division algebras and non-commensurable isospectral manifolds*, Duke Math. J. **135** (2006), 361–379.

[9] C. Maclachlan and A. W. Reid, *The Arithmetic of Hyperbolic 3-Manifolds*, Graduate Texts in Math. **219**, Springer-Verlag (2003).

[10] C. Maclachlan and A. W. Reid, *Parametrizing Fuchsian subgroups of Bianchi groups*, Canadian J. Math. **43** (1991), 158–181.

[11] M. V. Nori, *On subgroups of* $\mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbf{F}_p)$, Invent. Math. **88** (1987), 257–275.

[12] G. Prasad and A. Rapinchuk, *Length-commensurable locally symmetric spaces*, preprint (2006).

[13] A. W. Reid, *Isospectrality and commensurability of arithmetic hyperbolic* 2- *and* 3-*manifolds*, Duke Math. J. **65** (1992), 215–228.

[14] T. Sunada, *Riemannian coverings and isospectral manifolds*, Annals of Math. **121** (1985), 169–186.

[15] W. P. Thurston, *The Geometry and Topology of 3-manifolds*, Princeton University mimeographed notes (1979).

[16] B. Weisfeiler, *Strong approximation for Zariski-dense subgroups of semisimple algebraic groups*, Annals of Math. **120** (1984), 271–315.

[17] K. Zsigmondy, *Zur theorie der Potenzreste*, Monatsh. Für Math. Phys. **3** (1892), 265–284.

Department of Mathematics
University of Chicago
Chicago, IL 60637
email: dmcreyn@math.uchicago.edu

Department of Mathematics
University of Texas
Austin, TX 78712
email: areid@math.utexas.edu